

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/756,910	01/14/2004	Hubert Limbrunner	071308.0502	3076	
7590 09/03/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Andreas Grubert			MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J		
Baker Botts L. One Shell Plaz	·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
910 Louisiana			3747		
Houston, TX 77002-4995			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				. A A			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	11/1			
		10/756,910	LIMBRUNNER ET	ΓAL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marguerite J. McMahon	3747				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
· ·	• •	/IC CET TO EVOIDE AMONTHU	D)				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply reriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wit to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this co	ly. ommunication.			
Status							
1) 🗌 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	··					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	n of Claims						
4)× (Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ (Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) C	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers						
9)⊡ TI	he specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.				
A	pplicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11)∐ TI	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign r All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:		·(d) or (f).				
	. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3	. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit		d in this National	Stage			
* \$0	application from the International Bureau						
36	e the attached detailed Office action for a list o	r the certified copies not received	1.	•			
Attachment(s							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🛛 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa		-152)			
Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date <u>1/14/04</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/756,910

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Holderle et al (4,711,225).

Claims 1, 5, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP2001280311 or JP5149204.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holderle et al (4,711,225) in view of Fujita (5,875,758). Holderle et al show everything except the suction pipe being formed by plastic molding, and the elevations and/or depressions being produced by means of a core melt-out method of a half-shell casting method. Holderle et al are silent as to the type of material utilized to form the suction pipe. Fujita teaches that it is old in the art to form the suction pipe by plastic

Art Unit: 3747

molding. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the suction pipe by plastic molding, in order to utilize plastic, a conventional, inexpensive durable, and light-weight material as the suction pipe. In addition, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize any of a number of types of conventional methods to form the elevations and/or depressions in the wall of the suction pipe, including the core melt-out method or the half-shell casting method since these are art recognized alternative equivalents known for the same purpose.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 703-308-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,910

Art Unit: 3747

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARGUERITE MCMAHON
PRIMARY EXAMINER